

**Date:** 07/13/2011 08:05 AM  
**From:** Victor Ketellapper/R8/USEPA/US  
**To:** Cirian.Mike, Murray.Bill, pennock.sonya,  
Faulk.Libby  
**Subject:** Fw: EPA scrambling to change facts??

---

Victor Ketellapper  
USEPA Region 8

303-312-6578

----- Forwarded by Victor Ketellapper/R8/USEPA/US on 07/13/2011 08:05 AM -----

From: Libby Citizen <libbycitizens@gmail.com>  
To: Mike Cirian/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Victor Ketellapper/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Sean Earle/R4/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 07/12/2011 10:23 PM  
Subject: Fwd: EPA scrambling to change facts??

---

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mike Crill** <[asbestoskillnme@yahoo.com](mailto:asbestoskillnme@yahoo.com)>  
Date: Tue, Jul 12, 2011 at 8:50 PM  
Subject: Re: EPA scrambling to change facts??  
To: Libby Citizen <[libbycitizens@gmail.com](mailto:libbycitizens@gmail.com)>  
Cc: mike crill <[asbestoskillnme@yahoo.com](mailto:asbestoskillnme@yahoo.com)>

I have contacted AP and faxed them a stack of Documented from 2004 on up about my concerns for a National recall of this bark after I learned what was in it. But my main concern was I wanted to know what most of my family was exposed to since they worked at this bark mill  
In 2004/05 when Libby was given 21 million for clean up, 4 million came up missing and Libby only got 17 million. The same time, in March, a report came out by EPA stating they had taken 16,000 samples and found nothing...no shit!!! But when I requested the bark on trees be tested...530 million Tremolite fibers were found in one gram of bark. One gram of bark is the size of the tip of your lil finger. THAT ONE GRAM, WILL KILL Libby over and over again. Imagine what that one tree has in it. SO you know what that bark had in it. Plus, I raised hell back then when a lumber mill in Thompson Fall had a 2 hour safety meeting on logs they were getting from Libby and asbestos was the issue. But all these people I contacted did nothing and NOW...WalMart and other stores that sold this deadly bark are being sued and I hope to God that prick Rummelheartless is held accountable along with all the others who allowed this and did nothing to stop it. Most of this stuff went in play grounds and is still there. AND...AND....30 dump truck loads of this deadly bark put on the Parkers property which cost over 30 MILLION to clean. WTF over. EPA needs to hang...after all, ain't they also guilty of killing us???? Bastards. Keep me informed and we shall see what AP does with what I sent them....nothing clean or safe in Libby....duhhhhh!!!

---

**From:** Libby Citizen <[libbycitizens@gmail.com](mailto:libbycitizens@gmail.com)>

**To:** [LibbyCitizens@gmail.com](mailto:LibbyCitizens@gmail.com)

**Sent:** Tue, July 12, 2011 9:29:41 PM

**Subject:** EPA scrambling to change facts??

Although EPA Region 8 is apparently frantically attempting to revise history....and Libby Leaders likewise scramble in CYA efforts, Libby leaders, thou doth protest too much, and apparently not in the best interest of your community?

Sorry, we see right through the frenzied unfounded roclamations....BASED ON WHAT? Please provide documentation to back your proclamations about the "safety" of the bark immediately.

THERE CAN BE NOACTUAL PROCLAMATION WITHOUT A PROPER RISK ASSESSMENT. It's impossible to change facts....WHY DO YOU KEEP TRYING TO CHANGE FACTS WITHOUT A RISK ASSESSMENT ?

Here is their original response from EPA bout the bark in Libby, Montana that apparently tested positive for mphibole asbestos that is potentially lethal when stirred- ACKNOWLEDGED BY EPA.....

You may want to use your energy to seek out actual science and medical expert opinions, before forming such baseless opinions.

What is EPA Region 8 thinking???

--- On Mon, 3/7/11, [ennock.Sonya@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:ennock.Sonya@epamail.epa.gov) <[Pennock.Sonya@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Pennock.Sonya@epamail.epa.gov)>rote:

From: [ennock.Sonya@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:ennock.Sonya@epamail.epa.gov) Pennock.Sonya@epamail.epa.gov>

Subject: Additional responses to your questions about the OU5 bark

To: "DC Orr" <[xcav8orr@hotmail.com](mailto:xcav8orr@hotmail.com)>, [ilmwest@gmail.com](mailto:ilmwest@gmail.com), [ordsull@yahoo.com](mailto:ordsull@yahoo.com)

Date: Monday, March 7, 2011, 1:39 PM

In response to your additional questions regarding the OU5 bark:

EPA does not know where the bark on the OU5 property came from. The bark was removed from the site before EPA developed its final cleanup decision for OU5.

EPA does not know where the bark from OU5 went.

EPA did not fully characterize the material and did not determine a level of contamination. EPA continues to develop its final cleanup decision for OU5.

EPA does not know if the bark that was removed from OU5 "spread contamination."

Sonya Pennock  
Office of Communications & Public Involvement  
US/EPA Region 8  
1595 Wynkoop Street  
Denver, CO 80202-1129  
Phone: [303-312-6600](tel:303-312-6600)